

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

PROGRESSIVE CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, )  
Plaintiff, ) 2:11-CV-0678-LRH-PAL  
v. )  
JACKIE K. DELANEY; et al., )  
Defendants. )  
)

Before the court is defendants' motion to seal certain exhibits in support of their opposition to the motion for summary judgment (Doc. #24<sup>1</sup>). Doc. #25.

A party seeking to file materials under seal bears the burden of showing that the materials are covered by an operative protective order or are also deserving of confidentiality. *See Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.*, 331 F.3d 1122, 1135 (9th Cir. 2005). Specifically, a party must “articulate compelling reasons supported by specific factual findings that outweigh the general history of access and the public policies favoring disclosure.” *Kamakana, City and County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir. 2006) (internal citations omitted).

Here, the court finds that defendants have put forth compelling reasons for sealing the requested documents. Specifically, defendants contend that these documents contain the federal

<sup>1</sup> Refers to the court's docket number.

1 examination of a bank controlled by the Federal Deposit Insurance Company which is prohibited  
2 from public disclosure pursuant to 12 C.F.R. § 309.6(a). Thus, defendants have met their burden  
3 and the court shall grant the motion accordingly.

4

5 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendants' motion to seal (Doc. #25) is GRANTED.

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 DATED this 6th day of June, 2012.

8

9

10 LARRY R. HICKS  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

---

10 LARRY R. HICKS  
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE